UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

**MEMORANDUM & ORDER** 

08-CV-4405 (PKC) (PK)

- against -

MARK MIRVIS, et al.,

De:	fend	ants
DC.		unio

----X

PAMELA K. CHEN, United States District Judge:

Plaintiffs Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Indemnity Company, Deerbrook Insurance Company, Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company, and Allstate Property & Casualty Insurance Company ("Plaintiffs") brought this action on October 30, 2008, alleging violations of, *inter alia*, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, 1962(c)–(d), 1964(c), and New York common law, in connection with Defendants' involvement in an extensive criminal organization. On May 5, 2015, default judgment was entered for Plaintiffs in the amount of \$45,657,401.01, for which the defaulting Defendants were adjudged jointly and severally liable. (Dkt. 303.) On February 7, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a motion to enforce the judgment as to judgment debtor Michael Bezenyan. (Dkt. 621.) This motion was referred to the Honorable Peggy Kuo, United States Magistrate Judge, for a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 72.1(d). Judge Kuo recommended that the Court grant Plaintiffs' motion to enforce judgment. (R&R, Dkt. 691, at ECF<sup>1</sup> 1, 10.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citations refer to the pagination generated by the Court's CM/ECF docketing system and not the document's internal pagination.

The R&R notified Defendants of their right to file written objections to the R&R, pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). (Id. at 10–11.) A copy of

the R&R was served on Defendant Bezenyan on February 28, 2020. (See Affidavit of Service for

R&R, Dkt. 693.) The statutory period for filing objections has expired, and no objections to Judge

Kuo's R&R have been filed.

A district court reviewing a magistrate judge's recommended ruling "may accept, reject,

or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge."

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Where no party objects to the magistrate judge's recommendation, the

district court "need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." Jarvis

v. N. Am. Globex Fund L.P., 823 F. Supp. 2d 161, 163 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (citation omitted).

The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions relating to Plaintiffs' motion to enforce

judgment, as well as Judge Kuo's well-reasoned and thorough R&R. Finding no clear error in

Judge Kuo's decision, the Court adopts the R&R in its entirety, and grants Plaintiffs' motion to

enforce judgment, as recommended by Judge Kuo. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to

enter judgment in Plaintiffs' favor.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Pamela K. Chen

Pamela K. Chen

United States District Judge

Dated: March 20, 2020

Brooklyn, New York

2